Multiparametric MRI in rectal cancer

Colorectal cancer is the second most common cancer in females and third most common cancer in males worldwide. Rectal cancer constitutes a significant clinical burden, with almost 40,000 new patients in the USA, in 2015. Rectal cancer constitutes a distinct subset of colorectal carcinoma necessitating a dedicated multidisciplinary approach. In the past decades, treatment approach and diagnostic imaging of rectal cancer have improved considerably (1). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has gained wide acceptance in the pretreatment evaluation of rectal cancer, especially in terms of local staging. The key sequences for T staging are high resolution T2-weighted turbo spin-echo (TSE) images acquired in different planes, planned according to the axis and orientation of the tumor. MRI has overall high sensitivity for local staging of the primary tumor, especially in terms of local staging. The term multiparametric MRI implies addition of functional sequences, namely, diffusion and perfusion to the routine protocol. This review summarizes the technique, potential implications and previously published studies about multiparametric MRI of rectal cancer.
ing patients who may or may not respond to neoadjuvant treatment at the time of initial diagnosis or early during the treatment period. CRT is not free of side effects such as incontinence and bowel dysfunction, so it is important to predict nonresponders at the time of initial diagnosis (1, 2, 5).

Conventional rectal MRI mainly includes high-resolution T2-weighted sequences oriented according to the axis of the tumor in the rectum; additionally, T1- and T2-weighted, pre- and post-contrast images are obtained including the pelvis. The term multiparametric MRI of the rectum refers to addition of diffusion- and perfusion-weighted sequences to conventional rectal MRI. This technique, which is focused on tumor biology, is relatively new, there are only limited number of articles on this topic in the literature, some of which are in the form of feasibility studies (5–11).

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) relies on the thermally driven random motion of water molecules in tissues. In case of increased cellularity and change in cellular membrane integrity, such as in the presence of cancerous tissue, diffusion restriction ensues, which can be clearly shown with DWI sequences, in the form of hyperintensity. The use of DWI technique, although not routine, has an incremental course in both primary and treatment response evaluation for rectal cancer imaging (5–7).

There are several technical parameters as far as DWI acquisition is concerned. The detailed technical parameters is not the scope of this review, but it is noteworthy to mention small field-of-view (FOV) DWI sequence, which is one of the most recent advances in this area. It is well known that conventional DWI sequence has important limitations and artifacts that decrease the resolution. The combination of reduced FOV and single-shot echo-planar imaging (EPI) in the phase encoding direction spatially selective pulses enable decreased acquisition steps and lower EPI echo train (11). This new technique enables to receive high signal from only the area of interest with better resolution without an increase in scan time. There are limited number of studies regarding the feasibility and utility of small FOV DWI technique in the literature. Small FOV DWI studies include the pancreas, testes, kidney, cervix and prostate in the field of abdominal radiology (12–16). As to our knowledge, feasibility or utility study regarding small FOV DWI about rectum and rectal cancer does not exist in the literature. High-resolution T2 TSE and small FOV (Zoom it) DWI images of a patient with rectal cancer performed in our institution is given in Fig.1. Studies with large populations are needed to validate the utility of this new technique. However, we believe that, in future, small FOV DWI with less artifacts and better resolution, will be a routine part of rectal cancer MRI work-up.

Perfusion MRI technique depends on the dynamic assessment of kinetics of contrast uptake. It enables measuring local microcirculation and vascular permeability in a given tissue. Although a number of perfusion parameters can be derived from intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) DWI, more accurately perfusion MRI is performed by measuring signal intensity changes over time after administration of a paramagnetic contrast agent. A T1-weighted sequence with high temporal resolution is used for perfusion imaging. Different names exist in different vendors, but the basic sequence used is a high-resolution time-resolved magnetic resonance angiography. The first image obtained before contrast administration is used as a mask for subtraction to improve vascular conspicuity. This sequence enables the center of k-space to be sampled much more frequently than the periphery, during passage of the contrast. The data from the different partial k-space samplings are united to obtain a series of time-resolved images with good spatial resolution. After acquisition, the data can be evaluated quantitatively or semiquantitatively with different pharmacokinetic models (e.g., Tofts model, Tofts and Kermode model, Brix model) (2). At the end, a variety of parameters can be derived from perfusion data such as plasma flow (PF), plasma volume (PV), mean transit time (MTT), transfer constant (\(k_{trans}\)), fractional extracellular leakage space (\(v_e\)), and rate

**Main points**

- MRI has a pivotal role in both the primary local staging and neoadjuvant treatment response evaluation of rectal carcinoma.
- Accurate and optimal protocol is crucial and increases the sensitivity of local staging at the time of primary evaluation.
- The accuracy of MRI in primary local staging is better than its performance for neoadjuvant treatment response evaluation.
- Multiparametric MRI of the rectal cancer refers to addition of a number of functional techniques (DWI and perfusion) to the routine morphologic sequences.
- Further studies with larger patient groups needs to be performed with standardized techniques to validate the role of this technique on a clinical basis.

![Figure 1. a–c. Axial T2-weighted image (a), axial diffusion-weighted image (b=800) (b) and ADC map (c) show rectal cancer in the form of circumferential wall thickening.](image)
in terms of sensitivity and specificity to be used routinely in clinical practice with guidance of individualized therapy.

Following the initial review, Pubmed was searched with the keywords “multiparametric rectum MRI”, “diffusion MRI + rectum”, “perfusion MRI and dynamic contrast enhanced MRI + rectum”. The publications with multiparametric MRI of the rectum and ones containing both diffusion and perfusion techniques have been reviewed. Previous studies focusing on a single functional MRI technique have not been included in this part of the review process. Small FOV diffusion is a new diffusion MRI technique that enables smaller FOV images within similar acquisition times. As far as we could review the literature, none of the previous studies has utilized this technique for multiparametric rectal MRI.

IVIM theory is well known to suggest that DWI signal intensity is not only pure diffusion signal, rather it is a combination of free water diffusion and perfusion of the blood capillary network depending on the $b$ value. Thus, perfusion data can be extracted from IVIM with a bioexponential DWI model. Articles with this technique were also included in the review.

We identified a total of 14 published studies (Tables 1 and 2) dealing with multiparametric MRI of the rectum (including both diffusion and perfusion data); of these, 4 included feasibility and reproducibility (Table 1), 3 were in the form of review, and 2 were technical reports.

**Literature review**

Diffusion-weighted MRI has been shown to help detection, characterization, staging, and evaluation of neoadjuvant treatment response for rectal cancer (17). As far as detection is concerned, the addition of DWI to conventional T2-weighted imaging has been proven to provide better results (17, 18). In a series of 45 rectal cancer patients, the sensitivity of detection of the primary lesion increased from 82%–84% to 93%–96% with the addition of DWI technique in addition to T2-weighted imaging (19).

As far as characterization is concerned, the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value of adenocarcinomas have been found to be significantly lower compared with the normal rectal wall (19, 20). For mucinous type adenocarcinomas with more aggressive behavior, the ADC values have been reported to be higher compared with classical tubular type adenocarcinomas (21). As to our knowledge there is no study comparing the diffusion characteristics of adenocarcinomas and other tumors such as gastrointestinal stromal tumor and carcinoma tumor (17).

Local staging of the tumor still relies on conventional T2-weighted sequences where DWI has shown no significant advantage for characterization, except for lymph node detection (22). The sensitivity and specificity of conventional MRI sequences is well known to be limited in the detection of response to neoadjuvant treatment. At this point, addition of DWI technique appears to be promising. DWI has been reported to perform better than conventional imaging in terms of detecting residual viable tumor after neoadjuvant treatment (17). In 2013 Ha et al. (23) measured tumor volumes of 100 rectal cancer patients on T2-weighted imaging (T2 volumetry) and DWI (DWI volumetry) before and after neoadjuvant treatment for evaluation of complete remission. Interestingly, post chemoradiotherapy

**Table 1. Feasibility studies regarding multiparametric MRI of rectal adenocarcinoma**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, date</th>
<th>No. of patients with rectal adenocarcinoma</th>
<th>Sequences for diffusion and perfusion</th>
<th>Diffusion parameters</th>
<th>Perfusion parameters</th>
<th>Volumetric analysis</th>
<th>Inter-reader correlation for tumoral tissue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attenberger, 2014</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>ssEPI, TWIST</td>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>PF, MTT</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Good” for all parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hötker, 2016</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>ssEPI, not indicated</td>
<td>ADC, DWI volumetry</td>
<td>$K_{trans}$, DCE volumetry</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Inter-reader measurements differ significantly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenberger, 2017</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>ssEPI, TWIST</td>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>PF, MTT</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Good” for ADC and PF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun, 2018</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>IVIM with single-shot DWI</td>
<td>ADC, D</td>
<td>f, D*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>“Excellent” for ADC, D, D* and f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ssEPI, single shot echo-planar imaging; TWIST, time-resolved angiography with interleaved stochastic trajectories; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; PF, plasma flow; MTT, mean transit time; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; $K_{trans}$, transfer constant; DCE, dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging; IVIM, intravoxel incoherent motion; D, diffusion coefficient; D*, pseudodiffusion coefficient; f, perfusion fraction.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>MRI Tesla strength</th>
<th>Pt No</th>
<th>DWI sequence and b values</th>
<th>DWI parameters</th>
<th>Result of DWI analysis</th>
<th>Perfusion sequence</th>
<th>Perfusion parameters</th>
<th>Results of perfusion analysis</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attenberger, 2014</td>
<td>3 T</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Routine, b: 50, 400, 800, 1000</td>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>Higher ADC initially is correlated with better treatment response</td>
<td>TWIST, temp. resolution: 4.9 s</td>
<td>PF, MTT, PV</td>
<td>No significant correlation</td>
<td>A high initial ADC might predict better response to CRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun, 2017</td>
<td>3 T</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>IVIM, b: 0, 25, 50, 75, 150, 400, 800</td>
<td>ADC, D</td>
<td>Tumor grade positively correlated with ADC; tumor stage negatively correlated with D</td>
<td>IVIM</td>
<td>D*, f</td>
<td>Tumor grade positively correlated with D*, f; tumor stage negatively correlated with D*, f; patients with EMVI have decreased D*</td>
<td>IVIM parameters might have the potential to predict aggressiveness of the tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu, 2018</td>
<td>3 T</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>IVIM, b: 0, 25, 50, 75, 150, 400, 800</td>
<td>ADC, D</td>
<td>Negative correlation between KRAS positivity and ADC and D</td>
<td>IVIM</td>
<td>D*, f</td>
<td>Positive correlation between KRAS positivity and D*</td>
<td>IVIM parameters might have the potential to predict KRAS status and therefore therapy resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrillo, 2017</td>
<td>1.5 T and 3 T</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Routine + IVIM b: 0, 50, 100, 150, 300, 600, 800</td>
<td>ADC, f, Df</td>
<td>∆ADC, ∆f, ∆D*, ∆Df has statistically significant difference among responders versus non-responders.</td>
<td>Flash 3D, temp. resolution: 0.58 min</td>
<td>DΔMSD, DΔWOS, D*</td>
<td>Significant difference in SIS among responders and non-responders (best diagnostic performance)</td>
<td>SIS derived from perfusion MRI has the potential to assess treatment response as an angiogenic biomarker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hötker, 2016</td>
<td>1.5 T and 3 T</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Routine, b: 0, 750, 1000</td>
<td>ADC, DWI volumetry</td>
<td>DWI volumetry has significant correlation with tumor regression grade</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Ktrans, DCE volumetry</td>
<td>DCE volumetry has significant correlation with tumor regression grade</td>
<td>Only DWI and DCE volumetry were detected to have significant correlation with tumor regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Cecco, 2016</td>
<td>3 T</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Routine, b: 0, 200, 800</td>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>No significant correlation with complete response</td>
<td>3D FSPGR</td>
<td>Ktrans, ktrans, v, IAUGC90</td>
<td>v significantly lower in patients with complete pathologic response</td>
<td>Texture analysis with kurtosis and v derived from perfusion may have the potential to act as biomarkers for treatment response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nie, 2016</td>
<td>3 T</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Routine, b: 0–800</td>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>SGR (LAVA)</td>
<td>MSD, WIS, WOS</td>
<td>With a systematic analysis of multiparametric MRI features, it is possible to build models with better predictive value over conventional imaging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; Pt, patient; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; TWIST, time-resolved angiography with interleaved stochastic trajectories; PF, plasma flow; MTT, mean transit time; PV, plasma volume; CRT, chemoradiotherapy; IVIM, intravoxel incoherent motion; D, diffusion coefficient; D*, pseudodiffusion coefficient; f, perfusion fraction; EMVI, extramural venous invasion; KRAS, Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homologue; Df, tissue diffusion; SIS, standardized index of shape; MSD, maximum signal difference; WOS, washout slope; ktrans, transfer constant; FSPGR, fast spoiled gradient echo; ktrans, rate constant between extravascular/extracellular space and blood plasma; v, volume of extravascular/extracellular space per unit volume of tissue; IAUGC90, areas under the concentration curve of gadolinium contrast agent over 90 s; WIS, wash in slope.
(CRT) ADC showed a significant difference between complete and noncomplete responders. The accuracy of DWI tumor volumetry was found to be significantly superior compared with T2 volumetry in determining complete response (23). Another series of 40 patients with rectal cancer used different methodology to confirm that the addition of DWI yielded better diagnostic accuracy than conventional imaging in terms of complete response evaluation (24). The mean ADC of the group with complete responders was detected to be significantly higher than that of the noncomplete responders’ group.

As far as grade of the primary tumor is considered, low ADC values were reported to be detected in more aggressive tumors. This is concluded by the fact that histologically less well differentiated tumors (according to grades) were found to have significantly lower ADC values. In addition to this, lower rectal tumors invading the mesorectal fascia were found to have significantly lower pretreatment ADC values (17).

There are conflicting results about the prediction of tumor response to treatment based on DWI technique. A group of authors reported no significant difference between ADC values of responders and nonresponders (25, 26). Whereas some authors reported that initial ADC values may predict favorable or bad response to neoadjuvant treatment, about one or two weeks after initiation of therapy (27, 28).

There are limited number of published studies regarding perfusion MRI of rectal cancer. Most of them have been focused on the role of perfusion MRI in the evaluation of neoadjuvant treatment response and detection of complete responders. Martens et al. (29) performed perfusion MRI at the time of initial diagnosis and 7–10 weeks later in a total of 30 patients with rectal carcinoma. The perfusion parameters they analyzed were initial slope, initial peak, late slope, and area under the curve (AUC). They found no significant difference except pretreatment late slope, which was detected to discriminate between good and poor responders. They concluded that the perfusion parameter “late slope” could potentially be used before the onset of therapy to predict who will respond to therapy (29).

This is an interesting finding showing that perfusion MRI may have the potential to discriminate between responders and nonresponders, which may cause avoidance of a therapy causing side-effects or change in treatment strategy. On the other hand, Kim et al. (30) performed perfusion MRI in a total of 50 patients with rectal carcinoma to determine the role of this technique in the evaluation of treatment response after completion of neoadjuvant therapy. The perfusion parameters used were different from the previous study, and included $K_{trans}$, $k_d$, and $v_t$. Interestingly, they detected significant decrease in mean $K_{trans}$ value in patients with a good response to therapy (30). This finding is very important since it shows that perfusion parameters may one day have the potential to detect complete responders, which is sometimes very hard to determine with conventional sequences. Another recent study about perfusion MRI of the rectum including 46 patients with rectal carcinoma investigated whether perfusion parameters were correlated with aggressiveness of rectal cancer. They detected significant correlation between some of the perfusion parameters and microvessel density and T stage of tumor and concluded that a number of perfusion parameters might be used to predict aggressiveness of the tumor and hence prognosis (31).

The first published study using the term “multiparametric MRI of rectal cancer” is by Attenberger et al. (5) in 2014. This study included both feasibility and repeatability of the parameters derived from multiparametric MRI. A total of 54 patients with biopsy-proven rectal adenocarcinoma were included retrospectively. The parameters evaluated were ADC derived from DWI and PF and MTT derived from perfusion data. For acquisition of perfusion sequence they used TWIST with a temporal resolution of 4.9 s. They performed measurements of both the tumor and the intramural lymph nodes. Two different readers analyzed the data. The regions-of-interest (ROIs) in both diffusion and perfusion analyses were drawn at the discretion of each reader to fit the tumor size. They did not perform volumetric analysis. The inter-reader correlations for ADC, PF, and MTT for lymph nodes ranged from good to very good, whereas the correlations of the same values for primary tumor were reported to be good (5). This study is the first to show that diffusion and perfusion parameters derived from multiparametric rectal MRI can be performed with acceptable interobserver agreement, although they did not perform a volumetric analysis. In addition to interobserver agreement, Attenberger et al. (5) performed the first research study on multiparametric MRI of the rectum. ADC maps were calculated automatically by the software, and the parameters measured for perfusion were PF, MTT and PV. PF and MTT were significantly different between cT2 and cT3 tumors, whereas no significant correlation existed between the clinical stage and ADC values. They suggested that some of the MRI perfusion parameters might have the potential to allow prediction of tumor grade (5). This is the first study that shows functional MRI parameters may help to discriminate between T and N stages, which we believe is a very important end point, since the accuracy of conventional MRI is limited in this area.

Hötker et al. (32), investigated the role of multiparametric MRI for neoadjuvant treatment response and performed inter-reader analysis. In a total of 24 patients with rectal adenocarcinoma, two different readers evaluated the results independently. In contrast with the findings of other publications, inter-reader agreement differed significantly in their study. The agreement on pretreatment values was determined to be better when compared with the post-treatment values. The best agreement was found to be for ADC (32). A major limitation of their study is the limited number of patients. In their study they have also investigated the role of multiparametric MRI in the assessment of response of rectal cancer to neoadjuvant treatment. All patients had undergone DWI and dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE) imaging sequences in addition to routine protocol. They performed volumetric analysis using T2, DWI and DCE sequences using a special software. We believe that volumetric analysis is the superior aspect of their study. They observed that the only parameters that have significant association with histopathologic tumor regression grades for all readers were DWI volumetry and DCE volumetry. Neither the morphologic nor the other functional (ADC, $K_{trans}$) parameters were found to be associated with tumor regression. The results of this study provide a new concept to multiparametric MRI, namely volumetry, which might have the potential to perform better than two-dimensional functional parameter measurements (32).

In 2017, Attenberger et al. (33) published another article regarding the role of multi-
parametric MRI in rectal cancer as an evaluation tool of therapeutic response to neoadjuvant CRT in a group of 21 patients. They acquired DWI and perfusion using TWIST sequence. Two readers, blinded to the clinical data of the patients, performed the image analysis separately and inter-reader correlation was assessed. The parameters derived from DCE and DWI MRI were PF, MTT and ADC, respectively. They did not perform a volumetric analysis, rather they drew ROIs of approximately 1 cm² on the tumoral tissue. Inter-reader correlation was good for ADC and PF, but not good for MTT (33). Although once again a number of MRI parameters were shown to have good interobserver agreement, the major limitations of this study are limited number of patients and the lack of volumetric analysis. They have also evaluated the role of 3 T multiparametric MRI, namely ADC, PF, and MTT, in prediction of therapeutic response to neoadjuvant CRT in patients with advanced-stage rectal cancer. They observed that overall ADC values exhibited a significant increase after neoadjuvant CRT when compared with the parameters obtained at initial imaging. The PF values were found to be decreased but this was not significant. Between tumor regression grades 1 and 2 the only significant difference was observed to be increased ADC values. The ADC value was significantly higher in patients with better response to CRT. They concluded that a high initial ADC value might have the potential to predict response to CRT. On the other hand they have not observed statistically significant correlation, as far as perfusion parameters are concerned (33).

A recent work about diffusion and perfusion MRI using IVIM was published in 2018, by Sun et al. (34). In their study, perfusion data were obtained from IVIM DWI performed with a 3 T MRI equipment. They did not perform contrast-enhanced perfusion technique, which we believe is the major limitation of this study. A total of 52 patients with rectal carcinoma were included. The parameters were ADC, pure diffusion coefficient (D), perfusion fraction (f), and pseudodiffusion coefficient (D*). In the feasibility section of their study, they inserted ROIs to all sections containing tumoral tissue, excluding necrotic areas. ROIs were inserted manually on all consecutive tumor slices, differently from the previously mentioned feasibility studies. They reported excellent interobserver agreement between the two observers for ADC, D, D*, and f parameters (34).

Multiparametric MRI of the rectum is a complex technique, where standardization is crucial in each step, including acquisition and data analysis. For the DWI technique, all the parameters including b value, ROI size, the level of tumor on which ROI will be inserted, needs to be standardized. Perfusion is a much more complicated technique compared with diffusion, as far as standardization is concerned. A number of perfusion parameters can be derived from IVIM DWI, or more accurately it can be performed using intravenous contrast material. The sequence used for perfusion, temporal resolution of the technique, as well as data analysis need to be standardized. There are different models that can be used during perfusion data analysis which further complicates the technique. In most of the previous work, different acquisition and analysis parameters have been used, and the number of studies including feasibility, inter and intra-observer variability is quite a few. Sun et al. (34) inserted ROIs on all consecutive slices including the tumor for measurement of IVIM parameters, in a volumetric fashion. Their level of interobserver agreement was excellent, whereas Attenberger et al. (33) did not perform such a volumetric analysis and their interobserver agreement was inferior. Thus, we believe that volumetric analysis with dedicated software instead of single-slice or slice-by-slice analysis and ROI replacements that include the tumor as much as possible instead of smaller ROIs, may provide more accurate and standardized data. However, more feasibility studies including interobserver correlation with standardized techniques need to be performed to validate the repeatability of this technique, prior to sensitivity and specificity analyses.

Among the nine studies on multiparametric MRI of rectal cancer, ADC was the main parameter that has been focused for DWI analysis, whereas for perfusion analysis, a large spectrum of parameters have been used. According to the sequence obtained and post-processing technique, D*, f (obtained from IVIM), Ktrans, PF, PV and MTT, maximum signal difference, wash-out-slope, wash-in-slope have been implicated by different authors (5, 8–11, 32–34).

A total of three articles used the IVIM technique to investigate about multiparametric MRI of the rectum (10, 11, 29). As mentioned above, in the feasibility section Sun et al. (34) performed multiparametric MRI in a total of 52 patients with rectal cancer using IVIM technique. They compared different IVIM parameters with a variety of tumor prognostic markers. With better differentiation of the tumor (low grade), they detected higher ADC, D* and f values. This finding is very important which may have the potential in the future to discriminate between potential responders and nonresponders to neoadjuvant treatment. With increased tumor stage, significant decrease was observed as far as D and D* are concerned. This proves that a number of IVIM DWI parameters have the potential to predict tumor aggressiveness and stage. Interestingly, the patient group with extramural venous invasion (EMVI) showed lower D* when compared with the group without EMVI. Their results indicate that multiparametric MRI data may have the potential to predict aggressiveness of the tumor, and hence, prognosis (34).

The second article using IVIM technique is focused on different parameters (11). They studied the possible correlation of IVIM data with KRAS (Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homologue) status in patients with rectal cancer. KRAS is an oncogene that is mutated in approximately 35%–40% of patients with colorectal cancer. Clinically, it is crucial to be aware of any mutations in this gene, since patients with KRAS mutations are resistant to a specific type of therapy (anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody targeted therapy). In their study, IVIM parameters were measured in a total of 51 patients with rectal carcinoma and compared between patients with and without KRAS mutation. Interestingly, they found positive correlation between KRAS positivity and D* value, and negative correlation between KRAS positivity and ADC and D values. They concluded that rectal cancers with different KRAS mutation status exhibited distinctive diffusion and perfusion parameters (11). This is a very interesting finding that may help in the future for prediction of therapy resistance, but their results need to be validated by a higher number of patients.

Another study about multiparametric MRI of rectal cancer included texture analysis, which is a measure of intratumoral heterogeneity, in addition to DWI and perfusion. They studied the possible role of these techniques in prediction of neoadjuvant treatment response in a total of 12 patients. The parameters obtained from functional sequences were kurtosis from T2-weighted images, ADC from DWI, and ktrans, kdiff, v* and IAUGC90 (area under the...
with rectal adenocarcinoma has shown that kurtosis also positively correlated with tumor grade. Among all parameters (kurtosis, diffusivity, and ADC) kurtosis showed the best correlation with prognostic factors (36). Yet, new studies with larger patient groups are needed to validate the role of this technique.

**Conclusion**

Multiparametric MRI is a new technique that is largely in the research phase. The studies published in this area are limited in number. The sequences, the MRI parameters that are used in statistical analysis, and hence the results of the previous studies are extremely heterogeneous. This impedes comparison of studies and establishment of standardized protocols. Studies present conflicting results regarding the role of the MRI parameters in the prediction of neoadjuvant treatment response. Currently, there is no established cutoff value for any parameter to predict complete response. Moreover, the number of patients included in previous studies is limited. Multicenter studies with large patient populations, using standardized techniques in consensus are needed to validate the role of this new technique for the imaging of rectal cancer. Nevertheless, multiparametric MRI seems to emerge as a promising tool; we believe that in the future this technique will be employed in a standard fashion and will act as an imaging biomarker to predict potential responders and nonresponders prior to neoadjuvant treatment, determine responders in early phases of treatment, and predict “good” and “complete” responders.
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