The utility of acoustic radiation force impulse imaging in diagnosing acute appendicitis and staging its severity
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PURPOSE
The aim of this study was to investigate the feasibility of using acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) imaging to diagnose acute appendicitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Abdominal ultrasonography (US) and ARFI imaging were performed in 53 patients that presented with right lower quadrant pain, and the results were compared with those obtained in 52 healthy subjects. Qualitative evaluation of the patients was conducted by Virtual Touch™ tissue imaging (VTI), while quantitative evaluation was performed by Virtual Touch™ tissue quantification (VTQ) measuring the shear wave velocity (SWV). The severity of appendix inflammation was observed and rated using ARFI imaging in patients diagnosed with acute appendicitis. Alvarado scores were determined for all patients presenting with right lower quadrant pain. All patients diagnosed with appendicitis received appendectomies. The sensitivity and specificity of ARFI imaging relative to US was determined upon confirming the diagnosis of acute appendicitis via histopathological analysis.

RESULTS
The Alvarado score had a sensitivity and specificity of 70.8% and 20%, respectively, in detecting acute appendicitis. Abdominal US had 83.3% sensitivity and 80% specificity, while ARFI imaging had 100% sensitivity and 98% specificity, in diagnosing acute appendicitis. The median SWV value was 1.11 m/s (range, 0.6–1.56 m/s) for healthy appendix and 3.07 m/s (range, 1.37–4.78 m/s) for acute appendicitis.

CONCLUSION
ARFI imaging may be useful in guiding the clinical management of acute appendicitis, by helping its diagnosis and determining the severity of appendix inflammation.

Acute appendicitis is among the most common causes of acute abdominal pain (1, 2). Despite significant improvements in medical technology, the diagnosis of appendicitis is typically based on clinical findings, resulting in a false-positive rate of 8%–30% (3–6). It is widely understood that ultrasonography (US) and computed tomography (CT) are effective imaging modalities in the detection of appendicitis, although certain limitations to both techniques are apparent. Namely, visualization of the appendix is impossible in nearly 15% of healthy people, and among patients with suspected appendicitis, detection of tip appendicitis or periappendiceal inflammation is relatively poor (7–11). Previous studies employing graded-compression US reported widely variable rates of diagnostic accuracy, with sensitivity ranging from 44% to 100% and specificity ranging from 47% to 99% (12).

The use of scoring systems enhances the sensitivity and specificity of the available imaging modalities in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. In addition, scoring systems aim to minimize the risk of clinical complications and avoid the costs associated with delayed diagnosis or unnecessary appendectomies. Among current scoring systems, the Alvarado system has proven to be a cost-effective method of classifying patients according to acute appendicitis risk. The efficacy of the Alvarado system has been demonstrated in clinical studies, which identified a diagnostic cutoff score of 4–6 for acute appendicitis. Appendectomy is strongly indicated among patients with a score of ≥7, while patients scoring 5 or 6 should receive follow-up care (13). However, the sensitivity and specificity of the Alvarado system do not exceed 90%.

The mechanical properties of a tissue can be determined using acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) imaging. The technique of ARFI imaging comprises two different methods: Virtual Touch™ tissue imaging (VTI) and Virtual Touch™ tissue quantification (VTQ). VTI provides a qualitative map (elastogram) of relative stiffness for a user-defined region of interest. Using this method, stiff tissue may be differentiated from soft tissue even if it is appearing isoechogenic using conventional US imaging. VTQ is a modified application of US ARFI imaging that generates shear wave velocity (SWV) corresponding to tissue stiffness. VTQ has been used to determine tissue elasticity of a variety of organ systems, inflammatory processes, congestion, and fibrosis. ARFI imaging capability is an integral component of the existing US equipment and may be performed as a part of standard US procedures. SWV can be quantified through the application of standard B-mode US. Recent data demonstrated a strong correlation between ARFI imaging and hepatic fibrosis staging (6–8), and investigations of renal tumor diagnosis have also been conducted (14–17). The diagnosis of acute appendicitis by quantitative real-time elastography
has been previously reported, although clinical data demonstrating the efficacy of the technique in a substantial number of patients is lacking (18). The aim of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of ARFI imaging in diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

Materials and methods
A total of 53 patients presenting with abdominal pain in the right lower quadrant from February through August 2013 were studied. The control group consisted of 52 age- and sex-matched healthy persons with a body mass index (BMI) <30 kg/m² and a visible appendix upon US examination. The Alvarado score was calculated for all patients. Exclusion criteria included BMI ≥30 kg/m² and history of right lower abdominal surgery. The study excluded two pregnant patients and five obese patients due to an inability to visualize the appendix. All clinicians and sonographers were blinded to the study group designation. Demographic data, clinical symptoms, imaging data, operative findings, pathology reports and Alvarado scores were assessed in all study participants. Written consent was obtained from all study participants. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the University Review Board. Radiologic evaluations were performed by a single radiologist (C.G.) with 13 years of US experience.

Prospective calculation of the Alvarado score was completed for all patients presenting with right lower abdominal pain. The Alvarado system incorporates multiple scoring parameters including migrating pain and tenderness in the right iliac fossa, anorexia, vomiting and nausea, rebound pain, elevated temperature, and leukocyte and neutrophil counts (Table 1). An Alvarado score of <7 is designated as “low”, while a score of ≥7 is considered “high” and indicates the presence of acute appendicitis.

Standard US and ARFI imaging was performed using a convex transducer (4 MHz) followed by a linear transducer (9 MHz), utilizing a graded compression technique (Acuson S2000; Siemens, California, USA). US findings consistent with acute appendicitis included the presence of a rounded, noncompressible appendix of >6 mm in diameter, wall thickness >3 mm, and periappendiceal fluid and enhanced echogenicity in the adjacent adipose tissue. The appendix and periappendiceal tissues were evaluated using ARFI imaging, VTI, and VTQ in all study subjects. VTI color scoring system was used to discriminate between normal appendix (red) and the presence of appendicitis (yellow-green or blue-purple). VTI was used to classify periappendiceal inflammation as mild, moderate, or severe. Mild inflammation was represented by regions of enhanced stiffness adjacent to a blue-purple appendix. Periappendiceal inflammation extending within 2 cm from the outer wall of the appendix was indicative of moderate inflammation. Marked inflammation was reported if inflammation exceeded 2 cm beyond the appendix wall. Appendectomy was conducted in all patients following a positive diagnosis, and the surgical findings were used to confirm the VTI findings. The surgical report detailed the total mass of the appendix, the presence of inflammation and hyperemia in the intestinal wall, the presence or absence of appendicoliths, the omentum and mesoappendix appearance, the presence or absence of periappendiceal adhesions and inflammation, and the presence of regional fluid. The details of the surgical report were later correlated with VTI findings. Three independent reviewers determined the ARFI inflammatory designation. SWV values of patients with acute appendicitis were correlated with the Alvarado and disease severity scores.

Statistical analysis of the study data was completed using SPSS for Windows version 15.0. The normal distribution of the variables was tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated from the comparative diagnostic data. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed. The Pearson’s chi-square test, Mann-Whitney U test, Kruskal Wallis and McNemar tests were applied in the comparison of categorical and continuous variables. The threshold of statistical significance was established at P < 0.05. Correlations were evaluated with Spearman’s correlation coefficient.

Results
The patient group included 53 patients (28 male and 25 female) with a median age of 21 years (range, 7–64 years). The control group included 52 healthy persons (23 male and 29 female) with a median age of 23 years (range, 9–66 years). There was no statistically significant difference between the patient and control groups in terms of age and gender (P = 0.131 and P = 0.378). BMI was 27.5±2.50 kg/m² in the patient group and 26.2±3.32 kg/m² in the control group.

Upon US examination, the appendix had a diameter <6 mm and formed a compressible tube in all control sub-

| Table 1. The Alvarado scoring system (strong recommendation for appendectomy ≥7 points) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Features                                       | Score        |
| Symptoms                                       |              |
| Migratory right iliac fossa pain               | 1            |
| Nausea/vomiting                               | 1            |
| Anorexia                                       | 1            |
| Physical exam signs                            |              |
| Tenderness in right iliac fossa                | 2            |
| Rebound tenderness in right iliac fossa        | 1            |
| Elevated temperature                          | 1            |
| Laboratory findings                           |              |
| Leukocytosis                                   | 2            |
| Left shift of neutrophils                      | 1            |
| Total score                                    | 10           |
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Appendicitis was diagnosed in 41 of 53 patients after US evaluation. Histopathological analysis confirmed the diagnosis of appendicitis in 40 of these patients. US revealed no evidence of appendicitis in the remaining 12 patients. However, histopathological analysis demonstrated the presence of appendicitis in eight patients. Among patients with US false-negativity, three patients had tip appendicitis and five patients had inflammation of the appendix with a diameter <6 mm (Fig. 1). Among patients with true-negative US, two patients had lymphadenitis and two patients had colitis. US showed 83.3% sensitivity, 80% specificity, 97.6% PPV, and 33.3% NPV (P = 0.039), in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

ARFI imaging accurately identified all patients with acute appendicitis. ARFI imaging detected the presence of inflammation in a single patient ultimately diagnosed with cecal diverticulitis and in eight patients that were incorrectly identified as normal during US examination due to an appendix diameter <6 mm. For these eight patients, ARFI imaging revealed increased appendix wall stiffness and SWV >1.82 m/s (Figs. 2–6). ARFI imaging showed 100% sensitivity, 98% specificity, 98% PPV, and 100% NPV, in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis (P < 0.01). No correlation was detected between SWV and the Alvarado scoring (r=0.251, P = 0.069). SWV was significantly different between patients of different disease severity, as identified by ARFI (Table 3). Specifically, ARFI imaging identified 14 patients with mild, 12 patients with moderate, and 22 patients with severe appendix wall stiffness. Among patients identified as having severe appendix wall stiffness, 15 demonstrated the presence of phlegmon, five exhibited plastron, and two suffered from perforation. Disease stratification according to VTI findings was significantly correlated with surgical findings (r=0.539, P < 0.001).

**Discussion**

The results of the present study suggest that the Alvarado system is inferior to modern imaging methods in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Our data indicate 70.8% sensitivity, 20% specificity, 89.5% PPV, and 6.7% NPV,
A previous study has reported sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV values of 84.2%, 66.7%, 94.1%, and 40%, respectively, for the Alvarado scoring system (19). Us is a rapid, cost-effective, and accurate imaging modality for the definitive diagnosis of acute appendicitis. In comparison to other imaging methods, US is a painless, noninvasive, practical, and radiation-free procedure that requires minimal preparation. Prior publications report the sensitivity of US in the detection of acute appendicitis as 94%–100% (20, 21). Our study shows that US has 83.3% sensitivity and 80% specificity in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. However, US is ineffective in the diagnosis of appendicitis when the diameter of the appendix is <6 mm, corresponding to 15% of appendicitis cases (22). Technological advances permit the visualization of the appendix in 88% of healthy subjects; however, differentiation between healthy and inflamed tissue is difficult when the diameter is <6 mm (23). In the present study, acute appendicitis was successfully diagnosed by ARFI imaging based on green-blue, blue, and dark blue color-coding in VTI and SWV >1.82 m/s, even when the appendix diameter was <6 mm.

No single US finding allows for perfect discrimination between the normal and diseased appendix. Thus, the combination of multiple techniques is necessary for reliable diagnosis of appendicitis (24, 25). Mild inflammation of the appendix may be undetectable by US, particularly when the appendix is not distended, as occurred in five patients included in the present study. CT may be preferred as a method requiring less technical experience and minimizing patient discomfort (26, 27). CT allows for more accurate determination of the degree of inflammation of the appendix relative to US (28). However, exposure to ionizing radiation prevents the use of CT in pregnant women, young adults, and children (29).

MRI is more sensitive (97%–100%) and more specific (92%–93%) in identifying appendicitis, but its high cost limits access to this technology for most patients (30). Notably, real-time elastography has demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity in diagnosing acute appendicitis through the qualitative evaluation of wall stiffness in the inflamed appendix (18). In the present study, VTI and VTQ were utilized in combination to measure appendix wall stiffness both qualitatively and quantitatively. These modalities were highly advantageous for the differentiation between healthy and inflamed tissue, in cases involving normal appendix diameter (n=5) or tip appendicitis (n=3).

### Table 3. Surgical results and SWV in acute appendicitis patients of different severity and in healthy controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Surgical results</th>
<th>SWV (m/s) median (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1 (0.6–1.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Tip appendicitis (n=3) Appendicitis (n=11)</td>
<td>2.56 (1.18–3.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Gangrenous appendicitis (n=1) Appendicitis (n=11)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.37–4.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Phlegmonous appendicitis (n=15) Perforated appendicitis (n=2) Plastron appendicitis (n=5)</td>
<td>3.38 (1.68–4.30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P < 0.001, for control group vs. stages 1, 2, and 3; P = 0.526, for stage 1 vs. stage 2; P = 0.101, for stage 1 vs. stage 3; P = 0.020, for stage 2 vs. stage 3.

SWV, shear wave velocity.

---

**Figure 2.** Sonogram (left) showing a distended appendix, and elastogram (right) showing increased appendicular stiffness in blue (arrows) (severity stage 1).

**Figure 3.** Sonogram (left) showing a distended appendix with surrounding fluid, and elastogram (right) showing marked periappendicular inflammation (surgery revealed a perforated appendicitis; severity stage 3).
A recent study evaluated inflammation of the periappendiceal adipose tissue in acute appendicitis, as indicated by changes in echogenicity in US imaging (28). However, these parameters may be better assessed using elastography. ARFI imaging can also be used to classify the degree of inflammation as mild, moderate, and severe. ARFI imaging identified periappendiceal inflammation in the present study, revealing characteristics of appendiceal phlegmon, plastron, and perforation. As such, ARFI imaging may be an important tool in evaluating acute appendicitis in its earliest stages, as well as in advanced stages when the risk of perforation is greatest. ARFI imaging showed 100% sensitivity, 98% specificity, 98% PPV, and 100% NPV, in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Increases in the size and severity of inflammation are presumed to progressively increase SWV. Disease stratification according to VTI findings was significantly correlated with surgical findings.

In clinical practice, US is the method of choice for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis, despite better sensitivity and specificity associated with CT and MRI. However, ARFI imaging can be used to increase the diagnostic efficacy of US, enabling earlier diagnosis of appendicitis. As such, ARFI imaging may prevent unnecessary operations among patients with high Alvarado scores. Moreover, ARFI imaging may ultimately contribute to a reduction in the incidence of complications resulting from the late diagnosis of appendicitis among patients with low Alvarado scores. Diagnosis of appendicitis with ARFI imaging may enable rapid and accurate diagnosis of appendicitis in cases with reduced inflammation, such as nondistended and tip appendicitis. Overall, diagnostic sensitivity is remarkably improved with the combined use of US imaging. Thus, ARFI imaging facilitates the rapid implementation of appropriate clinical management strategies. However, certain technical limitations apply to ARFI imaging, including the inability to assess an appendix that cannot be visualized using B-mode US. Additionally, similar to standard US, elastography requires considerable cooperation from the patient. External pressure applied during probe application may influence ARFI imaging measurements. Elastography may indicate increased stiffness forming secondarily as a result of inflammation in the right lower quadrant. If no appendicitis is present, ARFI imaging is unable to differentiate between multiple potential causes of increased stiffness in the lower right abdomen.

A limitation of the study was the use of a healthy control group without any complaints. A more appropriate control group might consist of patients with right lower quadrant pain originating as a result of alternate etiologies. Other inflammatory conditions of the bowels and colon, such as diverticulitis and terminal ileitis, may also present with increased stiffness mimicking appendicitis on elastography. The heterogeneous nature of structures in the right lower abdomen makes the measurement of SWV challenging and

**Figure 4.** Increased SWV value in acute appendicitis.

**Figure 5.** Box plot showing comparison of SWV between patient and control groups. Median SWV is significantly different between groups according to Mann-Whitney U test ($P < 0.001$).
may limit the reproducibility of our results. Difficulties visualizing the appendix in obese individuals may limit the application of ARFI imaging in the evaluation of a subset of appendicitis cases. It is assumed that early diagnosis and treatment of tip appendicitis and acute appendicitis with mild inflammation is advantageous and would result in decreased complication rates, although this assumption cannot be substantiated by currently available data.

In conclusion, combined application of US and ARFI increases sensitivity while maintaining comparable specificity in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis, relative to US alone. Furthermore, ARFI imaging is an effective means of determining the severity of acute inflammation of the appendix with obvious utility in guiding the clinical management.
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