**In vivo and ex vivo measurements: noninvasive assessment of alcoholic fatty liver using 1H-MR spectroscopy**
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**PURPOSE**
We aimed to evaluate the ability of 1H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) to detect and quantify hepatic fat content in vivo and ex vivo in an experimental rat model of alcoholic fatty liver using histopathology, biochemistry, and laboratory analyses as reference.

**METHODS**
Alcoholic fatty liver was induced within 48 hours in 20 Lewis rats; 10 rats served as control. Intrahepatic fat content determined by 1H-MRS was expressed as the percent ratio of the lipid and water peaks and was correlated with intrahepatic fat content determined histologically and biochemically. Liver enzymes were measured in serum.

**RESULTS**
Fatty liver could be detected in vivo as well as ex vivo using 1H-MRS, in all 20 animals. Histologic analysis showed a fatty liver in 16 of 20 animals. Histology and 1H-MRS results were highly correlated (in vivo, r=0.93, P = 0.0005; ex vivo, r=0.92, P = 0.0006). Also a strong correlation was noted between in vivo 1H-MRS measurements and the fat content determined biochemically (r=0.96, P = 0.0003). Ex vivo results showed a similarly strong correlation between 1H-MRS and biochemistry (r=0.89, P = 0.0011).

**CONCLUSION**
1H-MRS can be carried out in ex vivo models, as well as in vivo, to detect and quantify intrahepatic fat content in the acute fatty liver.

Alongside diabetes mellitus and obesity, high alcohol consumption is one of the most common causes of fatty liver disease. Acute alcohol-induced steatosis represents the first stage of alcoholic liver disease and is defined as the presence of ≥5% of hepatocytes containing fat (1); over time steatosis progresses to alcoholic steatohepatitis, liver fibrosis, and cirrhosis. Biopsy of liver parenchyma with histologic analysis is currently the gold standard in the detection and quantification of fatty liver disease. Semiquantitative histologic assessment of the liver provides information about fat distribution within the hepatic lobules, can distinguish between simple steatosis and steatohepatitis (alcoholic and nonalcoholic), and can identify healthy livers as well as pathologic processes such as viral hepatitis (2). Despite these advantages, liver biopsy is an invasive procedure with risk of morbidity and complications (3). Furthermore, liver biopsy is not practical for monitoring the progression or regression of fatty liver diseases.

Noninvasive imaging techniques offer several advantages over biopsy for diagnosis and staging of fatty liver diseases including the possibility of simultaneously screening for other liver abnormalities. For example, information on lipid metabolism can be obtained using a noninvasive approach, which is especially useful in high-risk patients with insulin resistance or obesity (4). Moreover, preoperative quantitative assessment in drug-induced fatty liver by chemotherapeutic agents (e.g., methotrexate and irinotecan) can positively influence the risks of perioperative morbidity and mortality. A follow-up of intrahepatic fat content also leads to a better control of therapy using chemotherapy in primary or metastatic liver tumors (5). In addition, it is important to obtain an accurate and fast diagnosis prior to liver transplantation. Thus, the transplantation of a liver with cirrhosis or severe steatosis (> 60%) is associated with high risk of primary nonfunctioning of the organ (6). Furthermore, an acute fatty liver is a significant risk factor for postoperative liver failure after transplantation (7). Better regeneration
of the remaining organ has been shown for donors after partial hepatectomy with intrahepatic fat content of ≤ 5% than those livers with a fat content of 5% to 30% (8).

Noninvasive methods for quantification of steatosis have been developed using ultrasonography, computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Ultrasonography is widely used to detect hepatic steatosis, but its sensitivity is reduced in patients with small amounts of fatty infiltration. CT is associated with significant radiation exposure, which limits its use (9). MRI provides sensitive and semiquantitative assessment of tissue, but cannot present a precise and absolute metabolite quantification of intrahepatic fat (10). In vivo 1H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) has been recently shown to be safe and accurate and has been used as the reference standard (11). However, due to varying results, especially regarding the feasibility and reliability of a quantitative evaluation, further investigation is warranted. Although studies have focused on detecting fatty liver in in vivo models we could identify no study exploring the possibility of detecting alcohol-induced fatty liver in ex vivo models using 1H-MRS.

Here, we focus on the role of 1H-MRS in the detection of the initial stage of alcohol-induced fatty liver in vivo and ex vivo using histologic and biochemical analyses as the reference standards.

Methods

Animals

This study was approved by the governmental committee and our institutional animal research review board. In total 30 female Lewis rats (Charles River), aged 6 to 8 weeks, weighing 200 g were used in this study. Animals were kept under standard conditions with a mean temperature of 22°C±2°C, 55±10% mean relative humidity, and 12 h light/dark cycle. All animals had free access to water and feed. The maximum number of animals per cage was six. Liver samples were initially extracted from 10 animals to obtain biochemical and histologic results for healthy livers.

Ethanol treatment of rats

Following the method of Ratner et al. (12), each rat in the experimental group was twice given a dose of 9.3 g/kg body weight of 50% ethanol within a period of 24 h. The application took place via oral gavage using a 2 mm (diameter) × 75 mm (length) steel buttoned cannula. The dose of ethanol as well as the mode of application had previously been shown to safely introduce acute fatty liver (13).

Measurements

Summary of the experimental procedures is presented in Table 1. To allow paired comparisons all measurements were repeated on 20 animals before and after ethanol exposure; a separate group of 10 rats served as healthy controls. 1H-MRS and laboratory-chemical examination were performed one day before the first ethanol application and one day after the second ethanol application. During in vivo 1H-MRS, rats were anesthetized by isoflurane (2.2% isoflurane in air; 1 L/min) fixed in a supine position and allowed to breath freely. Under this ratio of anesthetic and oxygen, artifacts caused by fast heartbeat and respiratory motions were minimized. For serologic analysis the retrobulbar venous plexus of all animals was punctured and 3 mL blood was withdrawn, following in vivo 1H-MRS scans. Serologic levels of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) were determined at the laboratory of the university hospital within 24 h of sample withdrawal. After in vivo post-ethanol measurements, the liver was removed and put into a 10 mL syringe plunger. To ensure repeatability, all biochemical and histologic analysis and spectroscopic ex vivo measurements were made on the same day.

Magnetic resonance imaging

MRI was performed on a 3.0 T/70 cm horizontal open bore unit (Magnetom Trio, Siemens Healthcare) with a peak gradient amplitude of 45 mT/m and a maximum slew rate of 200 mT/m/s. An eight-channel multifunctional coil (Clothespin coil; CPC) consisting of two coil pairs of four channel arrays each (Noras MRI products) was used. For diagnostic imaging of the liver a three-dimensional T1-weighted sequence (“fl3d_vibe”) was used with the following parameters: TR, 10.7 ms; TE, 2.75 ms (in-phase, IP) and 6.125 ms (out-of-phase, OP); flip angle, 9°; signal averages, 20; field of view (FOV), 100 mm; slice thickness, 1 mm. Localizing sequences served as the basis for correct placement of the volume of interest (VOI), followed by T1-weighted sequences in the transverse (turbo spin echo: TR, 684 ms; TE, 20 ms) and coronal (turbo spin echo: TR, 745 ms; TE, 20 ms) plane, as well as a T2-weighted sequence in the transverse plane (turbo spin echo; TR, 4820 ms; TE, 79 ms) (Fig. 1).

1H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy

Gradient determination and automatic shimming (applied and controlled by interactive shimming) were applied prior to spectroscopic measurements being taken. For each animal, a single voxel of 10×10×10 mm was placed in the liver, avoiding inclusion of the diaphragm, edges of the liver and vascular and biliary structures (Fig. 2). To achieve precise adjustment of the VOI (i.e., isomorph to anatomical structures) a VOI-size was selected that would minimize location failures and artifacts, but maximize homogeneity of the liver parenchyma. 1H-MRS data were acquired on the same scanner used for the imaging but including a PRESS (point resolved spectroscopy) sequence with 1500 ms TR and 30 ms TE. Spectral data were acquired in 1024 data points with a 1000 Hz bandwidth, no water suppression, 256 signal averages and a total acquisition time of 6.5 min. Although a longer TR time would minimize T1 effects, the chosen TR time was an acceptable compromise between the T1 effects and the examination time (14). Immediately following 1H-MRS data acquisition, the T2 relaxation times for water and fat were determined with a series of six spectra with TE times of 30 ms, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 ms. The complete length of the MRI, including MRI scans (as described above) and 1H-MRS (positioning of the voxel, shimming procedures), did not exceed 40 min.
All spectra were processed using the standard Siemens software. Postprocessing and quantification included zero filling from 1024 to 2048 data points, multiplication by noise filtering and phase correction, signal fitting of the peaks within the acquired spectra, and integration to find the area under each spectral peak of interest (water at 4.7 ppm, methylene fat at 1.3 ppm). Peak integrations were found by fitting Gaussian functions and were carried out in the real part of the spectrum. The T2 calculation for fat and water was obtained from the signal intensity measured by the various echo times and was determined by a corresponding exponential equation for fat and water as follows:

\[
\text{fat: } TE_{\text{fat-peak-area}} = I_0 \times \exp \left(-\frac{TE}{T2_{\text{fat}}} \right)
\]

\[
\text{water: } TE_{\text{water-peak-area}} = I_0 \times \exp \left(-\frac{TE}{T2_{\text{water}}} \right)
\]

where \(I_0\) corresponded to the signal intensity at \(TE=0\) ms.

Subsequently, according to Guiu et al. (15), liver fat content (as a percentage) was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Hepatic fat fraction (HFF) } = 100 \times \frac{A_0 \cdot \text{CH}_2}{A_0 \cdot \text{CH}_2 + A_0 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}},
\]

where \(A_0 \cdot \text{CH}_2\) and \(A_0 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}\) describe the peak integrals of methylene and water peaks respectively. For ex vivo measurements the removed liver was measured spectroscopically with the same settings described above. The calculated spectrum displayed water and fat metabolites by their specific position on the x-axis (Fig. 3).

Serologic parameters
For serologic analysis, the retrobulbar venous plexus of all animals was punctured after 1H-MRS measurements, 3 mL blood was extracted and serologic levels of ALT and AST (including the AST/ALT ratio) were determined spectrophotometrically using a Modular P analyzer and a commercial kit (Roche Diagnostics) following IFCC standards.

Biochemical analysis/Folch method
Triglyceride content was obtained before and after alcohol intoxication after each 1H-MRS performance and liver extraction was made according to the method of Folch et al. (16). Approximately 100 mg of rat liver tissue samples were homogenized in 2 mL of chloroform-methanol solution (2:1 v/v) followed by centrifugation at 16,000 g for 1 min. The solvent was evaporated in a SpeedVac concentrator (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham) and the lipid residue resuspended in 100 µL isopropanol. Triglyceride content was determined spectrophotometrically using a Modular P analyzer and a commercial kit from Roche Diagnostics. To minimize unequal distribution of lipids with respect to hepatic triglyceride, several tissue samples were taken from different hepatic regions.

Liver histology
Liver biopsies were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. Liver biopsy sections were analyzed by an experienced hepatopathologist who was blinded to the laboratory parameters and clinical data. The histomorphologic assessment of liver tissue was evaluated by hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining. The number of fat-containing hepatocytes was estimated semiquantitatively as a percentage (0%–100%), containing macrovesicular fat (fat droplets equal to or larger than the size of the nucleus, often displacing the nucleus) or microvesicular fat (numerous small fat droplets surrounding a centrally located nucleus). For semiquantitative scoring of fatty infiltration, the following values were used: score 0, no visible fat; score 1, <5% of liver surface infil-
trated by fat; score 2, 5%–25% fat; score 3, 25%–50% fat; score 4, >50% fat (17) (Fig. 4).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using BIAS version 8.4 (Epsilon). Agreement between the fat content measured spectroscopically (i.e., HFF) in vivo as well as ex vivo with histology was assessed in two steps. First, we drew a scatterplot including a regression line and calculated the coefficient of determination ($r^2$) to assess the relationship between HFF and histology. Second, Spearman's nonparametric correlation coefficient ($r$) was calculated to determine the correlation between the different diagnostic methods (HFF vs. biochemistry, HFF vs. ALT, and HFF vs. AST).

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normal distribution of the datasets (ALT, AST, triglyceride-content, HFF data, and T2 times). When variables were normally distributed, results were expressed as mean values and standard deviation (SD), otherwise median and 25%–75% interquartile range (IQR) was reported. Because of the semiquantitative nature of the values, the Shapiro-Wilk test was not applied to histopathologic data. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare the difference among the various pre- and post-ethanol results of AST, ALT, triglycerides, and HFF and were also given for the T2 times for water and fat protons determined in vivo and ex vivo. Results were considered to be significant at $P < 0.05$. 

**Table 1. Summary of experimental procedures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Day 0         | • 10 rats anesthetized with isoflurane  
• Explantation of the liver  
• Biochemical determination of TG by Folch method  
• Histologic fat determination |
| Experimental group | Procedure |
| Day 1         | • 20 rats anesthetized with isoflurane  
• Spectroscopic measurement of hepatic lipid concentration  
• Blood sample (3 mL) taken for determination of AST and ALT |
| Day 2         | • First administration of ethanol |
| Day 3         | • Second administration of ethanol |
| Day 4         | • Same 20 rats (day 1–3) anesthetized with isoflurane  
• Spectroscopic measurement of HFF  
• Blood sample (3 mL) taken for determination of AST and ALT  
• Explantation of the liver  
• Biochemical determination of TG by Folch method  
• Histologic fat determination |

TG, triglycerides; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HFF, hepatic fat fraction.
Histologically measured mean intrahepatic fat before ethanol intoxication was 1.5%±1.42% (n=10). Liver steatosis (hepatic fat infiltration >5% hepatocyte) after ethanol intoxication was present in 16 of 20 rodents (80%). A histologic grading score of 0 was found in four animals, grade 1 in five animals, grade 2 in six animals, and grade 3 in five animals. The percent mean value of steatosis was 12.75±11.64%.

ALT and AST activity values were determined in all 20 animals of the experimental group before and after ethanol intoxication. The mean ALT was 51.29±13.64 U/L before ethanol intoxication, which increased significantly to 114.9±28.78 U/L after ethanol intoxication (P<0.001). The mean AST value increased significantly from 165.24±63.15 U/L before ethanol intoxication to a median of 571.65 U/L (IQR, 240–1116 U/L) after ethanol intoxication (P<0.001). The AST:ALT ratio (De-Ritis quotient) was >2 in all 20 animals.

Triglyceride content of the liver of healthy rats is typically assumed to be about 4 to 7 mg/g (18), which can increase four-fold within 12 h of ethanol intoxication (19). The triglyceride content before ethanol intoxication showed a mean of 6.78±2.19 mg/g and increased to 18.45±11.51 mg/g at 24 h after the second ethanol dose (P<0.001). Thus, a triglyceride content that corresponds to an acute fatty degeneration of the liver could be determined.

1H-MRS measurements were carried out before the initial administration of ethanol and 24 h after the second ethanol intoxication. Detection of intrahepatic fat (i.e., HFF) was achieved in all 20 animals before and after ethanol intoxication (in vivo and ex vivo). The mean value of HFF was 0.69%±0.33% before ethanol intoxication. After ethanol intoxication, HFF was measured ex vivo as a median of 5.8% (median of 4.1% (IQR, 2–15 U/L; P=0.002) and for ex vivo livers 5.67% (SD, ±2.09%; P=0.0543). The mean value of HFF was 12.75±11.64%.

ALT and AST activity values were determined in all 20 animals of the experimental group before and after ethanol intoxication. The mean ALT was 51.29±13.64 U/L before ethanol intoxication, which increased significantly to 114.9±28.78 U/L after ethanol intoxication (P<0.001). The mean AST value increased significantly from 165.24±63.15 U/L before ethanol intoxication to a median of 571.65 U/L (IQR, 240–1116 U/L) after ethanol intoxication (P<0.001). The AST:ALT ratio (De-Ritis quotient) was >2 in all 20 animals. Triglyceride content of the liver of healthy rats is typically assumed to be about 4 to 7 mg/g (18), which can increase four-fold within 12 h of ethanol intoxication (19). The triglyceride content before ethanol intoxication showed a mean of 6.78±2.19 mg/g and increased to 18.45±11.51 mg/g at 24 h after the second ethanol dose (P<0.001). Thus, a triglyceride content that corresponds to an acute fatty degeneration of the liver could be determined.

1H-MRS measurements were carried out before the initial administration of ethanol and 24 h after the second ethanol intoxication. Detection of intrahepatic fat (i.e., HFF) was achieved in all 20 animals before and after ethanol intoxication (in vivo and ex vivo). The mean value of HFF was 0.69%±0.33% before ethanol intoxication. After ethanol intoxication, HFF was measured as a median of 5.8% (median of 4.1% (IQR, 2–15 U/L; P=0.002) and for ex vivo livers 5.67% (SD, ±2.09%; P=0.0543)).

Significant difference between pre- and post-ethanol HFF measured in vivo (P=0.0029), as well as pre- and post-ethanol HFF measured ex vivo (P<0.001).

Table 2. Comparison of spectroscopic and histologic hepatic fat content and serologic and biochemical diagnostics after ethanol intoxication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rat no.</th>
<th>1H-MRS in vivo HFF (%)</th>
<th>1H-MRS ex vivo HFF (%)</th>
<th>Histology (%)</th>
<th>TG (mg/g)</th>
<th>ALT (U/L)</th>
<th>AST (U/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23.81</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37.18</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23.34</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>1116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17.81</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40.81</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>1058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.62</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.02</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No, number; HFF, hepatic fat fraction; TG, triglyceride; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.
Spectroscopically measured HFF was compared with the laboratory-determined chemical parameters of AST and ALT. In vivo spectroscopically measured HFF of alcohol-toxic fatty livers correlated positively with AST ($r=0.96, P < 0.001$) and ALT ($r=0.95, P < 0.001$). Similarly, ex vivo post-ethanol values showed a strong correlation between 1H-MRS and the serologic parameters AST ($r=0.82, P < 0.001$) and ALT ($r=0.9, P < 0.001$). Furthermore, histologic results (as the percentage of infiltrated fat hepatocytes) were compared with spectroscopically measured lipid content. Although the spectroscopic values were lower in direct comparison of histology and 1H-MRS, a significant positive correlation was found between the two methods (in vivo, $r=0.93, P = 0.0005$; ex vivo, $r=0.92, P < 0.001$) (Fig. 6).

A high correlation was also discovered between 1H-MRS and biochemically determined in vivo and ex vivo fat content (in vivo, $r=0.96, P < 0.001$; ex vivo, $r=0.89, P = 0.0011$). Comparison between HFF in vivo and HFF ex vivo showed no significant difference between the mean values of both groups ($P = 0.7623$). Table 2 shows a summary of all values of the experimental group after ethanol intoxication. Summary of all $r$ values is reported in Table 3.

### Discussion

The assessment of liver fat infiltration is typically based on liver biopsy with subsequent histologic analysis, which is considered as the diagnostic gold standard. However, liver biopsy is an invasive procedure that is associated with complications and sampling errors. Several studies have shown that the use of 1H-MRS is an established noninvasive method to detect and quantify fat content in human liver steatosis (20). As a quantitative method, 1H-MRS has a unique advantage over ultrasonography, CT, and MRI (which are qualitative or semiquantitative) (21). In recent years various strategies have been developed to obtain volume-selective 1H-MRI spectra from liver parenchyma in vivo and to enhance this technique; however, no study has been conducted that explores the possibility of using 1H-MRS to detect an acute alcohol-induced fatty liver in animal models ex vivo.

Here, we successfully detected a lipid signal by a spectroscopic approach in all animals before and after ethanol intoxication, including animals in which no fatty degeneration of hepatocytes was observed historically. The presence of histologically detectable steatosis was found in only 80% of biopsies after ethanol intoxication. In addition, the results demonstrated a discrepancy between the HFF measured spectroscopically and the histologic fat determination. For example, rat no. 9 showed a fatty degeneration of about 30% by a histologic approach, compared to a value of approximately 9% by 1H-MRS in vivo. This result suggests that patients are likely to be ranked higher by histologic grading compared with spectroscopic measurements. One possible explanation for this discrepancy is the semiquantitative nature of histologic classification of obesity, which relies on recording the percentage of hepatocytes within the microscopic view that display visible steatosis. Consequently, the visual estimate and evaluation of only

---

**Table 3. Spearman correlation coefficient ($r$) describing the relationship between different diagnostic methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic method</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Folch</th>
<th>AST</th>
<th>ALT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1H-MRS (HFF) in vivo</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1H-MRS (HFF) ex vivo</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1H-MRS, 1H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy; HFF, hepatic fat fraction; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase.
a small liver biopsy leads to sampling errors and inaccurate measurements. Ratziu et al. (22) found that there is a correspondence of only ~60% between two liver biopsies of the same patient. In addition, variability in the severity of steatosis by histologic examination within a single biopsy sample has been reported (23). Moreover the heterogeneity of distribution and quantity of fatty hepatocytes in liver biopsies can lead to distorted and false negative results, especially in low-grade fatty degeneration (24).

The present discrepancy between histology and 1H-MRS results support not only a linear dependence but also the use of a calibration graph. In this case, nonlinear exponential fit is performed based on the collected data and four-step grading of the histologic measurement is performed with the assumption that the curve starts at a histologic fat content of 0% (Fig. 7). Consequently, there is an even higher $r^2$ value than with the linear dependence approach ($in\text{ vivo}, r^2=0.91; ex\text{ vivo}, r^2=0.96$). Different results in the comparison of intrahepatic fat content measured histologically and spectroscopically have also been reported in other studies. d'Assignies et al. (25) reported a histologic fat content of 47.5%±19.6% in 20 patients with steatosis, which dropped to 18.1%±9% when assessed by 1H-MRS. The calculated correction coefficient for adjusting the 1H-MRS measurements on the histologic fat content was 2.6. Also, Hájek et al. (26) used linear and nonlinear models to describe the relationship between 1H-MRS and histology. The correction coefficients of the linear models for three groups of 77 patients were 3.3, 4.2, and 6.1. In the present work, correction coefficients were calculated as 2.2 and 2.5 for $in\text{ vivo}$ and $ex\text{ vivo}$ measurements, respectively. The clinical relevance of the differences between the findings of histologic and spectroscopic approaches is currently under discussion (27). There remains no consensus on a standardized normal value for spectroscopically measured intrahepatic fat. An initial proposal suggested a value of 20% as a reference threshold (28). A subsequent study has suggested that >3.6% intrahepatic fat measured by 1H-MRS should be considered as steatosis (29). In the present study, a HFF of 3.7% measured by 1H-MRS corresponded to a histologically determined fatty liver (involvement of >5% of hepatocytes by histologic analysis).

For the exact determination of HFF, the spectroscopic peak areas should be corrected for T2 relaxations as described above. In a series of studies, the following average T2 values were calculated by the 3.0 T MRI system: T2 water, 27 ms (range, 12.4–54.3 ms) and T2 fat, 61 ms (range, 28–82.2 ms) (30); T2 water, 12.4–54.3 ms and T2 fat, 49–60 ms (26); T2 water, 28 ms (range, 22–42 ms) and T2 fat, 64 ms (range, 36–99 ms) (31). These values are consistent with the results obtained in the present study.

The additional comparison of the 1H-MRS data with the biochemical analysis of extracted tissue samples is a unique advantage of our study. The high correlation of 1H-MRS and triglyceride content ($r=0.96, in\text{ vivo}; r=0.89, ex\text{ vivo}$) suggests the reproducibility of the spectroscopic analysis to other quantification methods and confirm the results of the correlation between histology and 1H-MRS. Although triglyceride levels were too low for the formation of macroscopic vesicles that could be detected histologically, these could be measured using the Folch method. In conjunction with the sufficient sensitivity of 1H-MRS for the quantification of HFF, the results of the biochemical analysis showed similarly strong correlation with the spectroscopic data compared with histologic fat content determination.
The significant correlation between 1H-MRS and the liver enzyme activity data confirm the hypothesis that 1H-MRS may be able to predict the function and condition of diseased livers. Reasons for elevated serum aminotransferase activities include alcohol abuse, medication, autoimmune hepatitis, hepatic steatosis, and nonalcohol ic steatohepatitis (32). Compared with ALT, AST activity has been reported to be more sensitive to liver damage caused by alcohol (33), which would explain the slightly better correlation between in vivo 1H-MRS results and AST activity in our analyses. The AST/ALT ratio has been used to distinguish cirrhotic from noncirrhotic patients and patients with nonalcoholic liver disease from those with alcoholic liver disease (34). However, it should be noted that liver enzyme data alone can only provide a general indication of liver function. More precise staging of acute decompensation can be given only in conjunction with other laboratory tests or with the De-Ritis quotient.

The results of this work indicate that ex vivo 1H-MRS measurements work especially well. The comparisons between ex vivo 1H-MRS and biochemical triglycerides determination, as well as the histologic analyses show a similarly strong correlation to in vivo measurements. These data suggest that 1H-MRS is a useful method for the detection of fat content, especially from liver grafts of deceased donors before liver transplantation.

The measured range of fat values in ex vivo measurements showed less variation than in vivo measurements, which might be accounted for in three ways. First, during in vivo measurements perfusion of the liver is affected by the blood pressure and blood flow, which is not purely steady state, whereby in ex vivo the blood-influence is missing. Thus, measurements of fatty infiltration in ex vivo livers are likely to be more accurate. Second, respiratory motion in living rodents can lead to erroneous fat signal contamination of the 1H-MRS spectra. Third, a different fat-water ratio could be crucial for higher fat values in most of the ex vivo livers. T2-weighted images receive their contrast from the transverse magnetic relaxation of water protons in tissue. A loss of water due to the explantation of the liver may be an important cause of a lower water signal and subsequent higher fat content values. Additionally, systematic variations in the two methods (e.g., spatial volume effects in in vivo 1H-MRS, shrinkage artifacts) may adversely affect different results.

Our study has some limitations. It should be noted that 1H-MRS measurements were carried out under highly standardized experimental conditions. Nevertheless, motion of the animals, the small voxel size and the difficulty of obtaining field homogeneity in a small object using a full body scanner lead to inhomogeneities that partially extend and distort the spectral lines. Free breathing of the rats is a principal cause of artifacts during 1H-MRS measurements. In addition, different technical conditions such as magnetic field strength, localization methods, or different repetition times may influence the spectroscopic results. Another limitation of this study is the small number of animals. In order to substantiate the results in the present study, the ex vivo data should be validated and confirmed by further research.

In conclusion, our findings suggest 1H-MRS as a noninvasive, safe, and accurate quantitative method for detecting acute fatty liver, as soon as 48 h after alcohol consumption. Furthermore, 1H-MRS shows the potential to detect fatty infiltration especially in ex vivo models. With its increasing accuracy 1H-MRS is likely to become an important clinical tool, potentially becoming the technique of choice for the diagnosis of early-stage alcoholic liver diseases, as well as for analyzing and evaluating liver transplants before transplantation.
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